Ricerche di Pedagogia e Didattica – Journal of Theories and Research in Education 19, 2 (2024). ISSN 1970-2221.

Bridging educational theories and practices: An interdisciplinary exploration of AI-driven pedagogical innovations in multicultural classrooms

Dwi Mariyono
Islamic University of Malang

Akmal Nur Alif Hd
Brawijaya University

Annis Nur AK
Brawijaya University

Abstract

This study explores the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in multicultural education through thematic analysis, content analysis, and cross-referencing analysis approaches. Drawing on current literature, the study identified key themes such as personalization of learning, cultural sensitivity in AI systems, ethical concerns and data bias, and professional development for educators. Content analysis assessed the frequency of occurrence of these themes across educational materials, while cross-referencing analysis integrated findings from both previous methods to provide a more comprehensive understanding. The results show that AI has great potential to support multicultural education, but there are significant gaps in its implementation, especially related to cultural sensitivity and professional development for educators. These findings highlight the need for more focused strategies to ensure AI is used ethically and inclusively in culturally diverse educational contexts.

Questo studio esplora il ruolo dell'intelligenza artificiale (IA) nell'educazione multiculturale attraverso analisi tematiche, analisi del contenuto e approcci di analisi delle referenze incrociate. Basandosi sulla letteratura attuale, lo studio ha identificato temi chiave come la personalizzazione dell'apprendimento, la sensibilità culturale nei sistemi di IA, le preoccupazioni etiche e il bias nei dati, e lo sviluppo professionale per gli educatori. L'analisi del contenuto ha valutato la frequenza di apparizione di questi temi nei materiali educativi, mentre l'analisi delle referenze incrociate ha integrato i risultati dei due metodi precedenti per fornire una comprensione più completa. I risultati mostrano che l'IA ha un grande potenziale per supportare l'educazione multiculturale, ma esistono lacune significative nella sua implementazione, in particolare per quanto riguarda la sensibilità culturale e lo sviluppo professionale per gli educatori. Questi risultati evidenziano la necessità di strategie più mirate per garantire che l'IA venga utilizzata in modo etico e inclusivo in contesti educativi culturalmente diversificati.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; multicultural education; cultural sensitivity; personalized learning; data bias; professional development

Parole chiave: Intelligenza Artificiale; educazione multiculturale; sensibilità culturale; apprendimento personalizzato; bias nei dati; sviluppo professionale

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

1. Introduction

As artificial intelligence (AI) continues to evolve and reshape various sectors, its influence within educational innovation is becoming increasingly profound. The integration of AI into classrooms has demonstrated considerable potential for enhancing teaching and learning processes, offering personalized educational experiences, and improving overall outcomes (Alam, 2022; James Young, 2024; Lima et al., 2024). However, while the broader impact of AI in education is well-documented, its specific implications within multicultural classrooms remain underexplored. This gap in literature is critical, as multicultural environments present unique challenges and opportunities where diversity and inclusivity are paramount.

In these settings, issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and equitable access to AI technologies carry heightened significance. The adoption of AI-driven pedagogical practices in multicultural classrooms demands a careful approach to ensure that learners from diverse backgrounds are not marginalized by technological advancements (Siminto et al., 2023; Zhang, 2023). Although existing studies underscore AI's transformative potential, they often overlook the distinct needs of multicultural educational environments, where considerations such as cultural sensitivity and inclusiveness must guide the development and application of AI tools (Lima et al., 2024; Renz & Hilbig, 2020; Viberg et al., 2023).

For example, Tian (2020) illustrates how AI and smart education are revolutionizing Human Resource Development (HRD), utilizing machine learning to personalize learning experiences and optimize teaching methods. Similarly, Gao (2020) emphasizes AI's role in enhancing university-level mathematics education, driving innovation and elevating student engagement. Majewska-Pyrkosz (2023) further highlights the ethical and practical considerations necessary for integrating AI into teaching processes, stressing the importance of modernized methods and a holistic approach to AI's educational role. These studies indicate AI's capacity to foster educational innovation, yet they also point to the critical need for tailored approaches that address the complexity of multicultural classrooms.

This study seeks to bridge this gap by exploring the transformative potential of AI-driven pedagogical strategies in multicultural learning environments. Specifically, it aims to investigate how AI innovations can be harnessed to foster inclusivity and improve educational outcomes across diverse student populations. The research employs a dual methodology, cross-referencing thematic analysis with content analysis. This integrated approach allows for both an in-depth qualitative exploration of AI's impact on multicultural classrooms and a systematic, quantitative assessment of emerging trends in educational data. By capturing both the nuanced experiences of educators and students and the broader patterns across AI applications, this study offers a comprehensive examination of how AI can be effectively leveraged to promote equity and excellence in education within multicultural contexts.

2. Literature review

AI in education: a general overview

AI technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing, and predictive analytics are revolutionizing educational landscapes. These technologies are being employed to personalize learning experiences, automate assessments, and support adaptive learning pathways. For instance, AI's application in predictive modeling and intelligent analytics is gaining traction in higher education across regions like Latin America and Africa, offering benefits such as tailored learning experiences and enhanced student outcomes (Onyebuchi Nneamaka

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Chisom et al., 2024; Salas-Pilco & Yang, 2022a). However, the adoption of AI in education brings challenges such as privacy concerns, ethical implications, and the digital divide (Vistorte et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2023).
AI's ability to personalize learning experiences and address the unique needs of diverse students is one of its most significant promises (Lampou, 2023; Tambuskar, 2022). In contexts where linguistic and cultural diversity is prevalent, AI can facilitate communication and help bridge language barriers. For example, AI-driven tools have been shown to enrich the learning experiences of international students by providing adaptive assessments and personalized support (Shaun Kim Sopera et al., 2023). Despite its potential, AI's success in multicultural education settings depends on how well it addresses cultural nuances and promotes inclusivity.

Multicultural education: theoretical foundations

Multicultural education is grounded in the principles of inclusivity, cultural competence, and social justice. Key frameworks, such as Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT), have been developed to ensure that instructional practices affirm and validate students' cultural identities (Abdalla & Moussa, 2024). Scholars like James A. Banks, Geneva Gay, and Gloria Ladson-Billings have been instrumental in shaping CRT models, which emphasize the integration of culturally relevant content into curricula and the promotion of positive intercultural interactions.
However, there are challenges in applying multicultural education across diverse contexts. While many educators see multicultural education as essential for promoting inclusivity, some lack the necessary awareness or understanding of effective practices (Żammit, 2021). Moreover, concepts such as cultural competence have been criticized for oversimplifying cultural experiences, often leading to essentialism (Botelho & Lima, 2020). To address these limitations, alternative frameworks such as anti-oppressive practice and intersectionality theory have emerged, offering more nuanced approaches to understanding cultural diversity (Franco, 2021).

The intersection of AI and multicultural education: opportunities and challenges

The integration of AI in multicultural education presents both opportunities and challenges. AI's ability to adapt to diverse cultural contexts and personalize learning experiences is particularly promising. In Australia, Chakma (2024) demonstrated how AI can be used to integrate Indigenous knowledge into the curriculum, fostering cultural inclusion and promoting social justice. Similarly, Chima Abimbola Eden et al. (2024) argue for the need to develop culturally relevant content and provide professional development to promote diversity and equity in education.
Despite these advancements, concerns about privacy, ethical implications, and cultural sensitivity remain prevalent (Vistorte et al., 2024). AI systems are only as effective as the data they are trained on, and biased or inadequate data can exacerbate rather than alleviate multicultural challenges (Ramudu et al., 2023). AI's role in bridging cultural divides depends on the quality and diversity of the data sets used and the ability of AI to respect and understand cultural nuances. In regions like Africa and Asia, AI has shown potential in enhancing educational outcomes, but its deployment must be carefully managed to ensure it does not widen existing inequalities (Onyebuchi Nneamaka Chisom et al., 2024).

Ethical and practical considerations in AI-driven multicultural education

AI's use in education raises significant ethical considerations, particularly in relation to privacy, data security, and cultural sensitivity. The challenge lies in developing AI systems that are not only effective but also ethically

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

sound and culturally responsive. Machine bias, for instance, is a critical issue that must be addressed to ensure fairness in AI-driven education (Maruyama, 2022). Ethical frameworks must be developed to guide the deployment of AI in multicultural settings, ensuring that AI does not inadvertently reinforce cultural stereotypes or deepen societal divides (Douglas et al., 2023).

Moreover, ensuring that AI is implemented responsibly requires collaboration between governments, educational institutions, and technology developers. For AI to be a tool for inclusivity, it must be designed and deployed with cultural sensitivity in mind, fostering communication and understanding across diverse cultural contexts (Sennott et al., 2019). The development of ethical and verifiable algorithms that prioritize multiculturalism is vital for the fair and successful application of AI in education (Ramudu et al., 2023).

Ethical and practical considerations of AI in non-anglophone multicultural education

AI-driven multicultural education outside the Anglophone context presents both ethical and practical considerations that require careful attention. The integration of AI in education offers significant potential for personalized learning and cultural sensitivity, particularly in multicultural settings (see). However, this integration raises ethical concerns such as algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the impact on teaching and learning processes (Sywelem & Mahklouf, 2024). In non-Anglophone contexts, these issues are further complicated by cultural and linguistic diversity. Interestingly, different countries approach AI ethics in education differently. For instance, China and Finland, while both recognizing the importance of AI ethics, have distinct policy approaches due to sociocultural variations (Wei & Niemi, 2023). This highlights the need for context-specific ethical frameworks that consider local cultural norms and values.

To address these challenges, several strategies have been proposed. The UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence provides a comprehensive framework for ethical AI usage in education, emphasizing equity, transparency, and inclusivity (Mutawa, 2024). Additionally, integrating cultural competence and ethical considerations into AI education has shown promise in enhancing students' understanding of AI content, as demonstrated in a study of Nigerian high school students (Sanusi & Olaleye, 2022), it helps form an inclusive mindset and fosters mutual respect between individuals from different cultural backgrounds. This is particularly important in non-Anglophone settings, where linguistic and cultural diversity may be more pronounced.

The South East European University's experience, as discussed in Abazi et al. (2008), demonstrates the importance of considering the specific multilingual and multicultural environment when developing and implementing educational strategies. Interestingly, while AI has the potential to enhance multicultural education, it also presents challenges. In order to advance justice and injustice, Dwi and Nur Alif Hd (2024) highlight the necessity of ethical AI frameworks, diverse representation in AI development, and transparency.

This is particularly relevant in non-Anglophone contexts, where AI algorithms may be biased towards Anglophone data and perspectives. Furthermore, Antecristo and Gallardo (2024) highlights the strong positive correlation between multicultural education and cultural competence development, suggesting that AI-driven approaches should aim to enhance these aspects. In conclusion, achieving ethical and practical AI-driven multicultural education beyond the Anglophone context requires a comprehensive strategy that integrates cultural sensitivity, linguistic diversity, and technological innovation. This approach should consider local cultural contexts (Park & Ramirez, 2021), incorporate universal design for learning and plurilingual teaching approaches (Carter & Schmor, 2022), and address the components and needs of multicultural education as identified in

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Ghimire (2020). By doing so, we can create more inclusive, equitable, and effective educational experiences that prepare students for an increasingly diverse global society.
In conclusion, achieving ethical and practical AI-driven multicultural education outside the Anglophone context requires a multifaceted approach. This includes developing culturally sensitive AI technologies, implementing robust ethical guidelines, and fostering AI literacy among students and educators. Moreover, international dialogue and collaboration are crucial to sharing best practices and addressing common challenges in this rapidly evolving field (Mutawa, 2024; Wei & Niemi, 2023).

Regional and global perspectives on AI in multicultural education

AI's impact on education varies by region, with different countries experiencing both successes and challenges. For example, China's e-learning systems have struggled to fully realize AI's potential, facing significant obstacles related to infrastructure and policy implementation (Fu et al., 2022). Conversely, in regions like Africa, AI is emerging as a tool for addressing educational challenges and fostering personalized learning, though concerns about the digital divide persist (Abdelmagid et al., 2024).
In Latin America, AI is being used to enhance the educational experience for international students, offering tailored support through AI-driven assistive technologies (Salas-Pilco & Yang, 2022b). However, the potential for AI to exacerbate inequalities, particularly in underserved regions, remains a critical concern. These regional examples illustrate the transformative potential of AI, but also highlight the need for careful consideration of local contexts, data quality, and cultural sensitivity in AI implementation. This study explores the intersection of AI and multicultural education, identifying key themes and prevalence, providing insights for future research and policy development, despite advancements in AI.

AI and equity in education

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education has raised significant concerns regarding the perpetuation and exacerbation of social and economic inequalities. The papers reviewed provide a multifaceted examination of this issue, highlighting the potential for AI to both mitigate and worsen disparities (Dankwa-Mullan & Weeraratne, 2022; Muka et al., 2023; Utterberg Modén et al., 2024).
Interestingly, while AI has the potential to enhance educational efficiency and accessibility, it may also inadvertently contribute to unfairness. For instance, in Swedish compulsory education, different social groups prioritize varying forms of efficiency—economic, pedagogical, and accessibility-related—which can lead to divergent perceptions of fairness and, by extension, different impacts on social and economic inequalities (Utterberg Modén et al., 2024). Similarly, in Albania, while AI could help bridge educational gaps, its implementation might reinforce existing gender disparities and cultural norms that hinder women's access to quality education (Muka et al., 2023). Moreover, the potential of AI to worsen health disparities, if not inclusively and transparently addressed, is a concern that parallels the risks in educational equity (Dankwa-Mullan & Weeraratne, 2022). The literature highlights the complexity of AI's role in education and its implications for social and economic equity. It emphasizes the need for critical evaluation to prevent reinforcing existing inequalities, addressing biases in AI design and implementation, and fostering inclusive approaches.
Students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds often face limited access to artificial intelligence (AI) technologies due to a variety of systemic barriers. These include disparities in access to digital resources, internet connectivity, and digital literacy, which can hinder their ability to participate in AI-related fields (Jessy et al., 2024). The digital divide is further exacerbated by factors such as low parental education levels, which strongly

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

correlate with reduced access to and usage of information and communication technologies (ICT) at home (McLaren & Zappala, 2002). Financial constraints also play a role, as evidenced by the challenges faced by low-income students in accessing postsecondary education, which is often a gateway to advanced technological fields (Lowry, 2019).

Efforts to reduce this digital divide include integrating AI knowledge into K-8 curricula with culturally relevant examples, promoting diversity in AI education, and investing in teacher training to implement inclusive teaching methods (Evans & Sinha, 2024). Additionally, leveraging universal service funds to spread IT technologies has been proposed as a means to enable upward social mobility among disadvantaged individuals (Huang & Cox, 2016). Educational initiatives like the Computer Science, Engineering, and Mathematics Scholarships (CSEMS) and Scholarships for Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (S-STEM) programs have shown success in supporting economically disadvantaged students in STEM disciplines (Wilson et al., 2012). Providing access to books for summer reading has also been shown to ameliorate educational setbacks for students from low-income families (Allington et al., 2010). Underprivileged students are benefiting from educational reforms, financial aid programs, and social entrepreneurship systems to address the digital divide, promoting inclusivity and creating more equitable opportunities in AI and technology.

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in schools with limited resources appears to have a positive impact on student outcomes. In rural primary schools, the use of AI voice assistants like Alexa has been shown to improve English vocabulary, comprehension, and public speaking confidence, as well as increase school enrollment and retention (Darda et al., 2024). Similarly, AI's applicability in telemedicine/telehealth education has been highlighted as a means to advance healthcare education in underserved locations, suggesting that AI can address educational inadequacies and improve outcomes (Burton, 2021). However, there are challenges and limitations to consider. The studies often have a limited scope, such as focusing on rural India (Darda et al., 2024) or a specific telehealth organization in Virginia (Burton, 2021), which may not be representative of all underserved communities. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data and observations could introduce bias (Darda et al., 2024), and the small sample sizes may not capture the full diversity of rural educational institutions (Darda et al., 2024). While AI shows promise in enhancing educational outcomes in schools with limited resources, the evidence is based on specific contexts and may not be universally applicable. Further research with larger and more diverse samples is needed to fully understand the impact of AI on students in underserved communities. Nevertheless, the current findings suggest that AI has the potential to be a valuable tool in improving education in these settings

The integration of gender approaches into inclusive education is crucial for fostering a supportive environment and enhancing understanding of gender and sexual issues (Suwarni et al., 2024). Similarly, the impact of gender and racial diversity on organizational dynamics, such as employee turnover, suggests that diversity considerations are important in various institutional contexts (Chapman & Nasirov, 2021). Moreover, the performance of nonprofit boards is positively influenced by gender and racial diversity, especially when inclusive behaviors and diversity policies are implemented (Buse et al., 2016). These findings underscore the importance of diversity in educational settings and beyond. However, there are complexities in the relationship between diversity and institutional outcomes. For instance, an organization's experience with pro-diversity climates can have varying effects on turnover depending on the existing level of gender diversity (Chapman & Nasirov, 2021). Additionally, the interaction between gender and racial diversity can influence governance practices in nonprofit boards, indicating that diversity's impact is not straightforward and requires careful management (Buse et al., 2016).

The literature suggests that developing inclusive algorithms in education should consider gender, racial, and disability diversity to improve educational outcomes and foster an inclusive environment. This approach aligns

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

with broader trends in organizational and educational settings that recognize the value of diversity and the need for inclusive practices (Buse et al., 2016; Chapman & Nasirov, 2021; Suwarni et al., 2024). Therefore, literacy in developing inclusive algorithms must encompass an understanding of the complex dynamics of diversity and the implementation of policies and practices that support inclusivity.

Cross-cultural competence in AI applications

Artificial Intelligence (AI) can be adapted to different cultural and educational contexts by leveraging its capacity for personalization and its ability to analyze and respond to diverse data inputs. In educational settings, AI can be tailored to accommodate cultural nuances and learning preferences, thereby enhancing the learning experience for students from various backgrounds (Monserrat et al., 2022; Zawacki-Richter & al., 2019). For instance, AI-driven recommendation systems in libraries can be designed to consider cultural diversity when providing financial literacy resources, ensuring inclusivity and relevance to all patrons (Kowsick & Ramasamy, 2024).

Interestingly, while AI has the potential to be culturally adaptive, there are challenges such as ensuring the accuracy of emotion recognition across different cultures and addressing privacy concerns (Vistorte et al., 2024). Moreover, the integration of AI in educational technology must consider the unique needs and preferences of individual learners, which are often shaped by their cultural backgrounds (Zawacki-Richter & al., 2019). This requires a comprehensive understanding of the cultural dimensions that influence learning and the ethical implications of deploying AI in such sensitive areas. In summary, AI can be effectively adapted to different cultural and educational contexts by personalizing learning experiences and considering cultural diversity in its applications. However, it is crucial to address the challenges of cross-cultural validity and ethical considerations to ensure that AI tools are both effective and respectful of cultural differences. Future research should focus on refining AI models for cultural adaptability and exploring the ethical frameworks necessary for their implementation in diverse educational settings (Vistorte et al., 2024; Zawacki-Richter & al., 2019).

The integration of AI into educational curricula and pedagogy has seen varying degrees of success, particularly when adapting to local cultural norms. Abiodun (2024) provides an example of success in adapting Montessori education principles to the Kenyan cultural context, where local traditions and practices were integrated into the Montessori curriculum, enhancing its relevance and effectiveness (Abiodun, 2024). Conversely, Mashaphu et al. (2021) describes the adaptation of the Eban HIV risk reduction intervention to the South African context, which, while generally favorable, implies a complex process that requires careful consideration of local cultural norms and participants' preferences (Mashaphu et al., 2021).

Interestingly, while not directly related to curriculum adaptation, Molla and Bangladesh (2024) highlights the broader challenges of AI integration in Bangladesh's banking sector, which include cultural factors and limited awareness of AI's potential benefits (Molla, 2024). This suggests that successful AI adaptation is not only an educational challenge but also a broader societal one, where cultural understanding is crucial.

The integration of AI in education raises concerns about cross-cultural data bias, which can significantly affect the efficacy and fairness of AI applications. Bias in AI, particularly in supervised machine learning algorithms, can stem from the types of data used for training these systems (Zhou et al., 2022). When data does not adequately represent diverse cultural contexts, AI applications such as personalized learning and adaptive testing may inadvertently perpetuate biases, leading to unfair or ineffective educational experiences for international students (Wang et al., 2023).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Interestingly, while AI has the potential to enhance learning by detecting patterns in vast amounts of data, the ethical use of this data is paramount to avoid perpetuating existing biases (J. Rhem, 2023). The need for ethical considerations is echoed in the context of adult education, where the integration of AI technologies must be balanced with concerns for academic integrity, data privacy, and algorithmic bias (Storey & Wagner, 2024). Moreover, the increasing role of AI in education necessitates a careful analysis of the relationship between AI applications and educational outcomes, ensuring that new technologies support rather than hinder the common development of diverse educational systems (Rana et al., 2021).

In the post-pandemic education landscape, AI and machine learning technologies are more critical than ever, but their application must be scrutinized for potential biases that could affect student learning and the effectiveness of education systems (Yildirim & Celepcikay, 2021). Machine learning's role in HRD also highlights the importance of selecting ethical data and implementing AI applications responsibly to avoid bias in learner tracking and personalized adaptive learning (Tian, 2020). The transformative potential of AI and ML in education pedagogy is undeniable, yet the bibliometric analysis suggests a need for policies that support the ethical use of AI and ML in academic environments, promoting quality pedagogical services while mitigating risks associated with data bias (Okagbue et al., 2023). The application of AI in education must be managed carefully to avoid risks such as decision-making mistakes and privacy leakage, which are exacerbated by data bias (Qian, 2021). Finally, the effectiveness of AI and machine learning in education must be researched further, particularly concerning student evaluation and examination, to ensure that these technologies are beneficial across different cultural contexts (Faustino & Kaur, 2022). Cross-cultural data bias presents a significant challenge to the application of AI in education. To mitigate this, it is crucial to ensure that AI systems are trained on diverse and representative datasets, and that ethical considerations guide their deployment. Addressing these issues is essential for realizing the potential of AI to provide equitable and effective educational experiences for learners from all cultural backgrounds.

AI's Role in professional development for multicultural educators

Artificial Intelligence (AI) plays a significant role in the professional development of multicultural educators by providing personalized learning and data-driven insights that can enhance teaching strategies and cultural competence (Cheng & Liang, 2023; Chima Abimbola Eden et al., 2024). AI-driven tools and platforms offer opportunities for educators to engage with diverse learning materials and instructional approaches, which are essential for fostering an inclusive and culturally responsive classroom environment (Chima Abimbola Eden et al., 2024). Interestingly, while AI has the potential to support multicultural education, it also presents challenges such as ensuring cultural sensitivity in AI-driven career guidance and addressing biases that may arise from the use of AI in educational settings (Cheng & Liang, 2023). These challenges underscore the importance of integrating AI in a manner that respects and values the cultural backgrounds and identities of all students (Chima Abimbola Eden et al., 2024).

Psychological and social impacts of AI on students

The influence of artificial intelligence (AI) on the identity development of students from cultural minority groups is multifaceted, with potential to both support and challenge social integration. AI and new technologies can improve educational outcomes and engagement for minority students, potentially aiding their integration into broader society by equipping them with relevant skills and knowledge (Salas-Pilco & Yang, 2022a).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

However, the use of AI must be sensitive to the sociocultural diversity of students to avoid reinforcing stereotypes or cultural misunderstandings that could hinder integration (Salas-Pilco & Yang, 2022a).
Contradictions arise when considering the complex nature of identity construction among ethnic minority students. For instance, the negotiation of identity within various social discourses can be influenced by AI through language learning and social media use, which may either support or complicate the process of social integration (Lai, 2019; Michelle Gu et al., 2017). The role of AI in language practices and beliefs is particularly significant, as it can affect the bilingual identity of ethnic minority students, influencing both the preservation of ethnic identity and the construction of a mainstream identity (Nguyen & Hamid, 2019). Social media, a form of AI-enabled technology, can foster math identity and bicultural integration, which are beneficial for social integration (Hu et al., 2024). However, it can also create new in-group distances among young members of ethnic minority groups, potentially hindering social integration (Nguyen & Hamid, 2019).
In summary, AI has the potential to support the identity development of students from cultural minority groups, facilitating their social integration by enhancing educational outcomes and fostering bicultural identities. However, challenges such as cultural misunderstandings and the reinforcement of stereotypes must be addressed to ensure that AI serves as a tool for inclusion rather than division. It is crucial for educators, practitioners, and policymakers to consider these dynamics when implementing AI technologies in educational settings to support the sociocultural inclusiveness and integration of minority students (Erentaitė et al., 2018; Sharma & Hussain, 2021).

Methodological approaches in education research

Thematic analysis and content analysis are essential qualitative research methods in education. Thematic analysis identifies and reports patterns within data, offering rich, detailed insights (Majumdar, 2022). In contrast, content analysis quantifies data by measuring the frequency of categories and themes, serving as a proxy for significance (Vaismoradi et al., 2013). Both methods enable researchers to interpret qualitative data effectively. Interestingly, these methods are sometimes used interchangeably, leading to confusion, particularly among less experienced researchers (Humble & Mozelius, 2022). While thematic analysis is seen as more intuitive and faster to learn, content analysis is viewed as more practical and straightforward (Humble & Mozelius, 2022). The choice of method should align with the research questions and the nature of the data.
Thematic and content analysis are crucial tools in educational research, providing detailed interpretations and quantifiable insights. This study addresses gaps in empirical data on AI's potential in multicultural education, identifying key themes and quantifying their prevalence. This approach offers a roadmap for future research and policy development.

3. Methodology

3.1 Design

This study uses a cross-referencing methodological framework of thematic analysis and content analysis to rigorously examine the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in multicultural education. Thematic analysis facilitates the exploration of recurring patterns in qualitative data sourced from the existing literature, allowing for the identification of salient themes related to the impact of AI on learning in culturally diverse classrooms. This qualitative approach allows for the extraction of insights from a range of stakeholders, including educators, students, and policymakers, to better understand the implications of AI integration in diverse educational contexts.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Content analysis complements this qualitative methodology by quantitatively measuring the presence and frequency of identified themes in educational content, such as curricula, AI-based educational platforms, and classroom activities. This cross-referencing methodological framework of thematic analysis and content analysis approach ensures a comprehensive examination of the data, with qualitative insights from thematic analysis being reinforced and validated through quantitative metrics derived from content analysis.

3.2 Search criteria

The literature review will utilize reputable international journal databases, including ERIC (Education Resources Information Center), JSTOR, Scopus, Web of Science, SpringerLink, Taylor & Francis Online. Search terms will include combinations of keywords such as "artificial intelligence", "multicultural education", "AI in education", "diverse classrooms", and "educational technology". The search will be limited to peer-reviewed articles published in the last ten years to ensure relevance and currency in the field.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Studies published in peer-reviewed journals focusing on the application of AI in educational settings.
- Research that addresses multicultural education, specifically in contexts involving diverse cultural, racial, and linguistic populations.
- Empirical studies, both qualitative and quantitative, that provide data on the effects of AI on learning outcomes in culturally diverse classrooms.
- Articles published in the last ten years to ensure relevance to contemporary educational practices and technologies.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Studies that do not focus on educational settings or lack a clear connection to the role of AI in learning processes.
- Research that fails to address multicultural contexts or does not consider diverse student populations.
- Opinion pieces, editorials, or non-peer-reviewed articles without empirical support.
- Publications older than ten years unless they provide foundational theoretical insights critical to the current study.

3.3 Analysis

Thematic analysis

- Familiarization.
  Read through the selected literature to gain a comprehensive understanding of the data, noting initial ideas and concepts.
- Initial coding.
  Generate initial codes by identifying significant features in the data related to AI's impact on multicultural education. Codes may relate to themes such as student engagement, teacher roles, and curriculum development.
- Theme identification.
  Collate codes into potential themes, organizing them into broader categories that reflect patterns and insights related to the research questions.
- Reviewing themes.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Evaluate the themes against the dataset to ensure they accurately represent the data and are distinct from one another. This may involve refining or combining themes for clarity and coherence.
- Defining and naming themes.
  Clearly define each theme, summarizing its significance and relation to the research questions. Develop descriptive labels for each theme to convey their essence.

Content analysis

- Developing a coding scheme.
  Create a coding framework based on the identified themes from the thematic analysis. This scheme will guide the systematic review of educational content.
- Data extraction.
  Examine selected educational content, including curricula, AI-based educational tools, and classroom activities. Use the coding scheme to identify the presence and frequency of themes and concepts.
- Quantitative measurement.
  Analyze the frequency of themes within the content to determine their prominence. This may include calculating the percentage of materials that reference specific themes or concepts related to AI in multicultural education.

Integration of Findings

- Holistic interpretation.
  Integrate the qualitative insights from thematic analysis with the quantitative findings from content analysis. This synthesis will provide a comprehensive understanding of how AI influences multicultural education.
- Triangulation.
  Validate the findings by cross-referencing insights from thematic and content analyses, ensuring that qualitative themes align with quantitative measures.

Reporting results

- Present the findings in a clear and structured manner, highlighting key themes and quantitative data that illustrate the role of AI in multicultural education.
- Discuss the implications of the findings for policy, practice, and future research, emphasizing the need for inclusive and equitable approaches in educational settings.

Limitations of the chosen method

The use of thematic analysis and content analysis approaches applied in this article presents both opportunities and limitations. One major limitation is the potential for bias in interpreting qualitative data during thematic analysis, as themes can be subjectively defined based on the perspective of the researcher. Additionally, content analysis may not capture the full complexity of the themes identified, as it relies on the frequency and presence of certain terms or concepts, which may overlook the nuanced contexts in which these themes emerge. Integrating these approaches requires careful calibration to ensure that findings from both analyses are not only consistent but also meaningfully complementary. Furthermore, the reliability of the data is highly dependent on

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

the sources selected, and any gaps or biases in the literature selected may impact the overall validity of the conclusions drawn. However, it is important to emphasize that the validation of findings by cross-referencing insights from thematic and content analyses ensures that qualitative themes align with quantitative measures.

4. Results and discussion

In an effort to understand the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in multicultural education, a series of analyses were conducted including Thematic Analysis, Content Analysis, and Cross-referencing Analysis. These three approaches were used to explore in depth how AI contributes to various aspects of education involving cultural diversity, as well as to identify challenges and opportunities that arise in its implementation.

4.1 Thematic analysis

Thematic Analysis focused on identifying key themes that emerged from the related literature, such as personalization of learning, cultural sensitivity in AI systems, ethical concerns and data bias, inclusivity and social justice, professional development for educators, and regional and global perspectives. This approach allows for a more holistic understanding of how AI can support or hinder multicultural education through various lenses as presented in table 1 below.

Table 1. Thematic analysis of AI's role in multicultural education

Themes	Description	Supporting sources
Personalization of learning	AI's capacity to tailor learning experiences to individual student needs, addressing diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds.	Lampou (2023); Tambuskar (2022); Shaun Kim Sopera et al. (2023)
Cultural sensitivity in AI systems	The importance of AI systems being culturally aware to avoid perpetuating stereotypes and biases. In non-Anglophone contexts, these issues are further complicated by cultural and linguistic diversity	Chakma (2024); Chima Abimbola Eden et al. (2024); Ramudu et al. (2023); Sywelem & Mahklouf (2024); Ghimire (2020).
Ethical concerns and data bias	Issues surrounding privacy, ethical use of data, and the potential for AI to reinforce existing inequalities and distinct policy approaches due to sociocultural variations.	Vistorte et al. (2024); Maruyama (2022); Ramudu et al. (2023); Wei & Niemi (2022); Antecristo and Gallardo (2024); Carter & Schmor (2022)
Inclusivity and social justice	The potential of AI to promote or hinder social justice by either bridging or widening the digital divide.	Abdalla & Moussa (2024); Franco (2021); Sennott et al. (2019); Wei & Niemi (2022); Mutawa (2024); (Park & Ramirez, 2020)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Professional development for educators	AI's role in enhancing the cultural competence of educators through personalized professional development.	Cheng & Liang (2023); Chima Abimbola Eden et al. (2024); Sanusi & Olaleye (2022).
Regional and global perspectives	Variations in AI's impact on education across different cultural and regional contexts.	Fu et al. (2022); Salas-Pilco & Yang (2022b); Onyebuchi Nneamaka Chisom et al. (2024); Mutawa (2024); Wei & Niemi (2022)

Personalization of learning

AI's ability to tailor educational experiences is particularly valuable in multicultural classrooms where students' needs vary significantly due to linguistic and cultural differences. Lampou (2023) and Tambuskar (2022) highlight that AI-driven tools can facilitate communication across language barriers, offering personalized support that is culturally relevant. Shaun Kim Sopera et al. (2023) further emphasize how AI can enhance the learning experience for international students by providing adaptive assessments that cater to their unique backgrounds.

Cultural sensitivity in AI systems

The deployment of AI in multicultural education settings raises concerns about cultural sensitivity. Chakma (2024) demonstrated the successful integration of Indigenous knowledge into the curriculum using AI, highlighting the importance of cultural inclusivity. However, as Ramudu et al. (2023) argue, AI systems can inadvertently perpetuate cultural stereotypes if not carefully designed. Chima Abimbola Eden et al. (2024) stress the need for developing culturally relevant content to ensure that AI-driven education promotes diversity and equity.

Ethical concerns and data bias

Vistorte et al. (2024) and Maruyama (2022) point out that AI in education must navigate ethical challenges, particularly around data privacy and bias. The risk of reinforcing existing inequalities through biased data is significant, especially when AI systems are trained on datasets that do not reflect the diversity of the student population. Ramudu et al. (2023) advocate for the development of ethical frameworks to guide AI implementation in education, ensuring that it serves to reduce rather than exacerbate disparities.

Inclusivity and social justice

AI has the potential to promote inclusivity in education by providing tools that bridge the digital divide, especially in underserved regions. Abdalla & Moussa (2024) and Franco (2021) discuss how AI can be leveraged to promote social justice in multicultural settings, but they also caution against the risk of AI deepening existing inequalities. Sennott et al. (2019) emphasize the need for culturally sensitive AI tools that foster understanding and communication across diverse cultural contexts. Apart from that, other ethical concerns have also surfaced, including algorithmic bias, data privacy, and effects on the processes of teaching and learning (Sywelem &

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Mahklouf, 2024). These problems are made more difficult in non-Anglophone settings by linguistic and cultural diversity.

Professional development for educators

Cheng & Liang (2023) and Chima Abimbola Eden et al. (2024) highlight the role of AI in the professional development of educators, particularly in enhancing their cultural competence. AI-driven platforms can offer personalized learning opportunities that help educators better understand and respond to the needs of culturally diverse students, thus promoting more inclusive educational practices.

Regional and global perspectives

The impact of AI in education varies across regions, reflecting different cultural, economic, and infrastructural contexts. Fu et al. (2022) note that while China has invested heavily in AI for education, challenges remain in fully realizing its potential. In contrast, Onyebuchi Nneamaka Chisom et al. (2024) discuss how AI is being used in Africa to address educational challenges, though concerns about the digital divide persist. Salas-Pilco & Yang (2022b) explore how AI is enhancing the educational experiences of international students in Latin America, highlighting both opportunities and challenges.

4.2 Content analysis

Content Analysis is conducted by assessing the frequency of occurrence of these themes in various educational sources and materials. This analysis provides a quantitative picture of the extent to which each theme is integrated into current educational practices. The results show that personalization of learning and ethical issues related to AI receive significant attention, while aspects such as professional development for educators are still underrepresented as presented in table 2 below.

Table 2. Content analysis of AI's role in multicultural education

Themes	Frequency of appearance	Educational content	Examples
Personalization of learning	High	AI-based educational platforms, Curriculum	Adaptive assessments, language translation tools
Cultural sensitivity in AI systems	Moderate	Classroom activities, AI-driven tools	Culturally relevant content, Indigenous knowledge integration
Ethical concerns and data bias	High	AI policy documents, Curriculum design	Privacy guidelines, bias mitigation strategies
Inclusivity and social justice	Moderate	Curriculum, AI in regional educational initiatives	Equity-focused programs, digital divide reduction efforts

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Professional development for educators	Low	Professional development platforms, Teacher training programs	AI-driven training modules, cultural competence workshops
Regional and global perspectives	Moderate	Comparative educational studies, AI in regional education	Case studies from Africa, Asia, and Latin America

Personalization of learning

The frequent appearance of personalization in educational content indicates a strong emphasis on using AI to tailor learning experiences. Adaptive assessments and language translation tools are common features in AI-based educational platforms, reflecting the priority placed on meeting diverse student needs. The integration of personalized learning pathways into curricula demonstrates the widespread recognition of AI's potential to support multicultural education. As Shaun Kim Sopera et al. (2023) argue, AI tools are particularly effective in enriching the educational experiences of international students, who benefit from adaptive assessments that address their unique cultural and linguistic backgrounds.

Cultural sensitivity in AI systems

Although cultural sensitivity is moderately represented in educational content, its inclusion in classroom activities and AI-driven tools is crucial. Examples such as integrating Indigenous knowledge into curricula (Chakma, 2024) show that when AI systems are designed with cultural sensitivity in mind, they can significantly enhance the inclusivity of educational practices. However, the moderate frequency suggests that more work is needed to prioritize cultural relevance in AI applications. Ramudu et al. (2023) highlight the risks of AI systems that lack cultural sensitivity, warning that such systems could inadvertently reinforce stereotypes and cultural biases.

Ethical concerns and data bias

The high frequency of ethical concerns and data bias in content such as AI policy documents and curriculum design highlights the importance of addressing these issues in the deployment of AI in education. Privacy guidelines and strategies for mitigating bias are commonly discussed, reflecting widespread awareness of the potential risks associated with AI use in multicultural settings. As Vistorte et al. (2024) emphasize, ensuring the ethical use of AI in education is crucial to prevent the reinforcement of existing inequalities, particularly through biased data sets that fail to represent diverse student populations.

Inclusivity and social justice

Inclusivity and social justice appear moderately in educational content, particularly in curricula and regional initiatives that focus on reducing the digital divide. The content analysis reveals a concerted effort to use AI as a tool for promoting equity, though the moderate frequency suggests that more comprehensive approaches may be needed to ensure these goals are fully realized. For instance, Salas-Pilco & Yang (2022b) discuss how AI-driven technologies are being employed in Latin America to support international students, yet they also caution that without careful implementation, AI could exacerbate existing educational inequalities.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Professional development for educators

The low frequency of content related to professional development for educators suggests that this area may be underexplored in current educational initiatives. While AI-driven training modules and cultural competence workshops exist, they are not as prominently featured as other themes. This indicates a potential gap in ensuring that educators are fully equipped to leverage AI for multicultural education. Cheng & Liang (2023) underscore the importance of ongoing professional development in helping educators navigate the complexities of AI in diverse classrooms, suggesting that more resources should be allocated to this area.

Regional and global perspectives

Regional and global perspectives are moderately represented in content, particularly in comparative educational studies and AI applications in different regions. The content analysis highlights the variability in AI's impact across different cultural and economic contexts, with case studies from Africa, Asia, and Latin America illustrating both the opportunities and challenges of AI in education. Onyebuchi Nneamaka Chisom et al. (2024) note that while AI is making strides in improving educational outcomes in Africa, the digital divide remains a significant barrier, necessitating targeted interventions to ensure equitable access to AI technologies. It's interesting to see how different nations handle AI ethics in the classroom. For example, although acknowledging the significance of AI ethics, China and Finland have different policy approaches because of societal differences (Wei & Niemi, 2022). This emphasizes the necessity of ethical frameworks tailored to the particular setting, taking into account regional cultural norms and values.

4.3 Cross-referencing analysis

The results of the Cross-referencing analysis integrate the findings from the two previous analyses to provide a more comprehensive understanding. This approach highlights the alignment and gaps between the conceptual importance of a theme and its application in practical contexts. For example, although cultural sensitivity is recognized as important theoretically, its implementation in AI systems is still limited as presented in table 3 below.

Table 3. Cross-referencing thematic and content analysis of AI's role in multicultural education

Themes	Qualitative insights	Quantitative measures	Cross-referencing findings
Personalization of learning	Highly valued for addressing diverse needs	High frequency in content	AI's potential in personalizing learning is well-recognized and widely implemented
Cultural sensitivity in AI systems	Essential but under-implemented	Moderate frequency in content	Cultural sensitivity is recognized as important, but more efforts are needed to integrate it into AI systems

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Ethical concerns and data bias	Critical issue in AI deployment	High frequency in content	Ethical considerations are a major focus, reflecting widespread awareness of potential risks
Inclusivity and social justice	Potential to bridge divides	Moderate frequency in content	AI's role in promoting equity is acknowledged, but comprehensive strategies are needed
Professional development for educators	Crucial for effective AI use	Low frequency in content	There is a gap in ensuring educators are fully prepared to utilize AI in multicultural education
Regional and global perspectives	Varied impact across regions	Moderate frequency in content	AI's influence varies by region, indicating the need for tailored approaches

Personalization of learning

The Cross-referencing analysis reinforces that AI's ability to personalize learning is highly valued and widely recognized in both thematic discussions and educational content. The high frequency of this theme in content analysis, coupled with strong qualitative support, indicates that AI-driven personalized learning is a well-established practice in multicultural education. This alignment suggests that educational stakeholders are effectively leveraging AI to meet the diverse needs of students.

Cultural sensitivity in AI systems

While cultural sensitivity is acknowledged as essential, its moderate representation in content analysis suggests that it is not yet fully integrated into AI systems. The qualitative insights stress the importance of culturally relevant AI, but the lower frequency in content indicates that this is an area where more focused efforts are needed. This gap highlights the need for more deliberate inclusion of cultural sensitivity in AI development and deployment.

Ethical concerns and data bias

Ethical concerns and data bias are critical issues in AI deployment, as reflected in both high qualitative emphasis and frequent appearance in content. The Cross-referencing findings show a strong awareness of the risks

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

associated with AI in education, particularly regarding privacy and bias. This alignment suggests that stakeholders are actively engaging with these issues, though ongoing vigilance is required to ensure ethical AI practices.

Inclusivity and social justice

The potential of AI to promote inclusivity and social justice is recognized in both thematic and content analyses, though the moderate frequency in content suggests that more comprehensive strategies are needed. The Cross-referencing findings indicate that while AI is being used to bridge educational divides, particularly in underserved regions, there is still room for more systematic and widespread efforts to ensure that AI truly supports social justice.

Professional development for educators

The Cross-referencing analysis reveals a significant gap in the professional development of educators regarding AI use in multicultural settings. While the importance of this theme is highlighted in qualitative analysis, its low frequency in content suggests that educators may not be receiving the necessary support to effectively utilize AI. This gap underscores the need for more robust training programs and resources to equip educators with the skills needed to navigate AI in diverse classrooms.

Regional and global perspectives

The impact of AI in education varies significantly across regions, as indicated by both thematic discussions and content analysis. The moderate frequency of this theme in content analysis suggests that while regional differences are acknowledged, there is a need for more tailored approaches to AI deployment in education. The Cross-referencing findings highlight the importance of considering local cultural, economic, and infrastructural contexts when implementing AI in education.

Overall, this series of analyses provides in-depth insights into the dynamics of the role of AI in multicultural education, identifies areas that require further attention, and offers recommendations for improving the effectiveness and inclusiveness of AI use in culturally diverse educational settings.

5. Gaps in the literature

The literature reveals several gaps that need to be addressed to maximize the benefits of AI in multicultural education:

1) Cultural sensitivity in AI systems.

Despite its importance, cultural sensitivity is not fully integrated into AI systems. More research and development are needed to ensure that AI tools respect and reflect the cultural diversity of students.

2) Professional development for educators.

There is a significant lack of focus on professional development for educators in using AI effectively. This gap suggests a need for more comprehensive training programs that equip teachers with the skills to utilize AI in culturally diverse classrooms.

3) Inconsistent regional implementation.

The impact of AI on education varies widely across regions, indicating a need for more context-specific strategies. Research should explore how AI can be adapted to meet the unique needs of different cultural and economic environments.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

6. Implications and recommendations

To address the gaps identified in the literature and ensure that AI serves as a tool for inclusivity in multicultural education, the following recommendations are proposed:

1) Develop culturally adaptive AI tools.

AI developers should collaborate with educators and cultural experts to create AI systems that are culturally sensitive and inclusive. This includes training AI on diverse datasets that reflect the varied cultural backgrounds of students.

2) Enhance professional development programs.

Educational institutions should invest in robust professional development programs that focus on equipping educators with the knowledge and skills to effectively use AI in multicultural settings. This could include workshops, online courses, and resource-sharing platforms.

3) Promote ethical AI practices.

Governments and educational institutions should establish ethical guidelines for the use of AI in education, focusing on issues of privacy, data bias, and cultural sensitivity. These guidelines should be enforced through regular audits and assessments of AI tools used in educational settings.

4) Tailor AI implementation to local contexts.

AI deployment in education should be tailored to the specific cultural, economic, and infrastructural contexts of different regions. Policymakers should consider local needs and challenges when designing AI-based educational programs, ensuring that they are both effective and equitable.

By addressing these gaps and implementing these recommendations, stakeholders can harness the full potential of AI to create more inclusive, equitable, and effective educational environments that cater to the diverse needs of students in multicultural settings.

7. Research limitations

In the exploration of AI's role in multicultural education through the article "Bridging Educational Theories and Practices: An Interdisciplinary Exploration of AI-Driven Pedagogical Innovations in Multicultural Classrooms," several methodological limitations arise from the cross-referencing of thematic analysis, content analysis, and cross-referencing analysis. While these approaches offer rich qualitative insights and quantitative measures, they also present challenges in ensuring methodological rigor and transparency.

One limitation lies in the subjective nature of thematic analysis, where the identification and interpretation of key themes can vary based on researcher bias. This subjectivity may lead to the omission of relevant perspectives and a narrow understanding of the multifaceted issues at hand. Furthermore, the reliance on existing literature in the content analysis may limit the scope of findings, as it depends on the availability and representativeness of the sources reviewed.

Moreover, the integration of findings from both analyzes requires careful alignment, as discrepancies between qualitative insights and quantitative measures may indicate gaps in practical implementation. For example, while cultural sensitivity is highlighted as an essential theme, its actual application in AI systems may be underrepresented, suggesting a disconnect between theoretical recognition and practical implementation. In summary, while the cross-referencing methodological framework enhances the exploration of AI's impact on multicultural education, researchers must navigate the complexities of bias, source limitations, and implementation gaps to draw more robust conclusions that can inform future educational practices and policies.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

8. Conclusion

This study concludes that AI has a significant role to play in supporting multicultural education, particularly through its ability to personalize learning that can accommodate the needs of students from diverse cultural backgrounds. However, to achieve AI's full potential, greater attention to cultural sensitivity is needed in the design and implementation of AI systems. Although data ethics and bias have been widely discussed in the literature, actual implementation of these principles is still limited. In addition, educator professional development in using AI in multicultural classrooms remains an area that has received little attention. Therefore, a more comprehensive and inclusive approach to the development and implementation of AI in education is needed, including training for educators, developing culturally sensitive AI, and strategies that consider regional and global contexts. This is essential to ensure that AI not only improves educational outcomes but also strengthens social equity and inclusivity in diverse educational environments.

Bibliografia

Abazi, A., Fetaji, B., & Dixon, H. (2008). The application of technology in enhancing multicultural and multilingual aspects of education: Digital divide into digital opportunities. *ITI 2008 - 30th International Conference on Information Technology Interfaces*, 577–582. https://doi.org/10.1109/ITI.2008.4588474

Abdalla, H., & Moussa, A. (2024). Culturally responsive teaching: Navigating models and implementing effective strategies. *Acta Pedagogia Asiana*, *3*(2), 91–100. https://doi.org/10.53623/apga.v3i2.432

Abdelmagid, A. S., Hafez, M. A., Ahmed, E. W., Jabli, N. M., Ibrahim, A. M., Teleb, A. A., & Aljawarneh, N. M. (2024). Interactive digital platforms and artificial intelligence applications to develop technological innovation skills among Saudi university students. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (IJIM)*, *18*(11), 64–79. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v18i11.48877

Abiodun, Z. F. (2024). Montessori education and its application in Kenya: Evaluating effectiveness and cultural adaptation. *Research and Advances in Education*, *3*(7), 34–42. https://doi.org/10.56397/RAE.2024.07.05

Alam, A. (2022). Employing adaptive learning and intelligent tutoring robots for virtual classrooms and smart campuses: Reforming education in the age of Artificial Intelligence. In *Lecture notes in electrical engineering* (Vol. 914, pp. 395–406). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-2980-9_32

Allington, R. L., McGill-Franzen, A., Camilli, G., Williams, L., Graff, J., Zeig, J., Zmach, C., & Nowak, R. (2010). Addressing summer reading setback among economically disadvantaged elementary students. *Reading Psychology*, *31*(5), 411–427. https://doi.org/10.1080/02702711.2010.505165

B. Antecristo, J., & Gallardo, R. (2024). Multicultural education and cultural competence development of grade 6 learners. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT)*, 751–754. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP145

Botelho, M. J., & Lima, C. A. (2020). From cultural competence to cultural respect: A critical review of six models. *Journal of Nursing Education*, *59*(6), 311–318. https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20200520-03

Burton, S. L. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence (AI), Disability, and Telemedicine/Telehealth* (pp. 193–223). https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-4745-8.ch007

Buse, K., Bernstein, R. S., & Bilimoria, D. (2016). The influence of board diversity, board diversity policies and practices, and board inclusion behaviors on nonprofit governance practices. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *133*(1), 179–191. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2352-z

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Carter, A., & Schmor, R. (2022). Pluriculturalism and plurilingualism in English for academic purposes: Challenges and opportunities. In *Handbook of research on teaching in multicultural and multilingual contexts* (pp. 319–336). https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-5034-5.ch018

Chakma, U. (2024). Indigenous knowledge integration in Australian curriculum: Culturally responsive pedagogy and perspectives for Vietnam. *VNU Journal of Foreign Studies*, *40*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.63023/2525-2445/jfs.ulis.5200

Chapman, G., & Nasirov, S. (2021). The effect of gender and racial diversity on collective turnover. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, *2021*(1), 11417. https://doi.org/10.5465/AMBPP.2021.11417abstract

Cheng, Y., & Liang, Y. S. (2023). The development of artificial intelligence in career initiation education and implications for China. *European Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning*, *2*(4), 4–10. https://doi.org/10.24018/ejai.2023.2.4.32

Chima, A. E., Onyebuchi, N. C. & Idowu, S. A. (2024). Cultural competence in education: Strategies for fostering inclusivity and diversity awareness. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(3), 383–392. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i3.895

Dankwa-Mullan, I., & Weeraratne, D. (2022). Artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies in cancer care: Addressing disparities, bias, and data diversity. *Cancer Discovery*, *12*(6), 1423–1427. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-22-0373

Darda, P., Gupta, O. J., & Yadav, S. (2024). Metamorphosing traditional pedagogy: examining the transcendent influence of Alexa in catalyzing educational paradigm shifts within rural Indian communities. *International Journal of Educational Management*, *38*(3), 605–621. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-07-2023-0347

Douglas, M. J., Callcut, R., Celi, L. A., & Merchant, N. (2023). Interpretation and use of applied/operational machine learning and artificial intelligence in surgery. *Surgical Clinics of North America*, *103*(2), 317–333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2022.11.004

Dwi, M., & Nur Alif Hd, A. (2024). Transformative impact of ai on multicultural education: A qualitative thematic analysis. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, *8*(5), 113–118. https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v8i5.1667

Erentaitė, R., Lannegrand-Willems, L., Negru-Subtirica, O., Vosylis, R., Sondaitė, J., & Raižienė, S. (2018). Identity development among ethnic minority youth. *European Psychologist*, *23*(4), 324–335. https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000338

Evans, R., & Sinha, N. (2024). Bridging the gap: Diversity initiatives in AI education. *Proceedings of the AAAI Symposium Series*, *3*(1), 474–477. https://doi.org/10.1609/aaaiss.v3i1.31260

Faustino, A., & Kaur, I. (2022). Artificial intelligence and machine learning: Future of education. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, *2555*, 050031. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0109332

Franco, D. (2021). Revisiting cultural diversity in social work education through Latino critical race theory testimonio. *Social Work Education*, *40*(4), 522–534. https://doi.org/10.1080/02615479.2020.1740191

Fu, X., Lokesh Krishna, K., & Sabitha, R. (2022). Artificial intelligence applications with e-learning system for China's higher education platform. *Journal of Interconnection Networks*, *22*(Supp02). https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219265921430167

Gao, S. (2020). Innovative teaching of integration of artificial intelligence and university mathematics in big data environment. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, *750*(1), 012137. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/750/1/012137

Ghimire, T. R. (2020). Multicultural education: Components and necessities. *KMC Research Journal*, *4*(4), 171–190. https://doi.org/10.3126/kmcrj.v4i4.46504

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Hu, X., Zuo, H., Lai, C., Zhu, G., Guo, J., & Tan, H. (2024). Is social media use for math learning beneficial for ethnic minority students' math identity? A socialization perspective. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *55*(1), 363–378. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13359

Huang, S.-C., & Cox, J. L. (2016). Establishing a social entrepreneurial system to bridge the digital divide for the poor: a case study for Taiwan. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, *15*(2), 219–236. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-014-0379-7

Humble, N., & Mozelius, P. (2022). Content analysis or thematic analysis: Doctoral students' perceptions of similarities and differences. *Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods*, *20*(3), 89–98. https://doi.org/10.34190/ejbrm.20.3.2920

J. Rhem, A. (2023). Ethical use of data in AI Applications. In *Ethics - Scientific Research, Ethical Issues, Artificial Intelligence and Education [Working Title]*. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1001597

James Young. (2024). The rise of artificial intelligence in education. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Development*. https://doi.org/10.24940/ijird/2024/v13/i2/FEB24019

Jessy, M., Martha, K., & Drake Patrick Mirembe. (2024). Harnessing AI for socio-economic equity in Uganda: Bridging the digital divide through agricultural innovation. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, *6*(4). https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i04.24956

Kowsick, N. P., & Ramasamy, K. (2024). Implementing AI-based recommendation systems for personalized financial services in libraries. In K. Senthilkumar & R. Jagajeevan (Eds.), *Improving library systems with AI: Applications, approaches, and bibliometric insights*. (pp. 235–243). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5593-0.ch017

Lai, C. (2019). The influence of extramural access to mainstream culture social media on ethnic minority students' motivation for language learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *50*(4), 1929–1941. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12693

Lampou, R. (2023). The integration of artificial intelligence in education: Opportunities and challenges. *Review of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, *4*(00), e015. https://doi.org/10.37497/rev.artif.intell.educ.v4i00.15

Lima, L. A. de O., Gomes, L. P., Silva, P. H. da S. e, Oliveira, E. F. da S., Nascimento, M. do, Tourem, R. V., Gonçalves, J. N. de A., Lima, A. da S., Sobral, R., & Santos, I. da M. P. dos. (2024). Artificial intelligence and its use in the educational process. In *Navigating through the knowledge of education*. Seven Editora. https://doi.org/10.56238/sevened2024.002-043

Lowry, R. C. (2019). The effects of state higher education policies and institutions on access by economically disadvantaged students. *Research in Higher Education*, *60*(1), 44–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-018-9505-3

Majewska-Pyrkosz, E. (2023). Education in the era of artificial intelligence – new quests and possibilities. *Scientific Papers of Silesian University of Technology. Organization and Management Series*, *2023*(186), 391–405. https://doi.org/10.29119/1641-3466.2023.186.28

Majumdar, A. (2022). Thematic analysis in qualitative research. In *Research Anthology on Innovative Research Methodologies and Utilization Across Multiple Disciplines* (pp. 604–622). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-3881-7.ch031

Maruyama, Y. (2022). Categorical Artificial Intelligence: The integration of symbolic and statistical AI for verifiable, ethical, and trustworthy AI. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics): Vol. 13154 LNAI* (pp. 127–138). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-93758-4_14

Mashaphu, S., Pillay, S. R., Wyatt, G. E., & Hamilton, A. B. (2021). Adapting a US-based evidence-based HIV prevention intervention for the South African context. *Global Implementation Research and Applications*, *1*(3), 172–182. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43477-021-00020-5

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

McLaren, J., & Zappala, G. (2002). The "digital divide" among financially disadvantaged families in Australia. *First Monday*. https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v7i11.1003

Michelle Gu, M., Mak, B., & Qu, X. (2017). Ethnic minority students from South Asia in Hong Kong: language ideologies and discursive identity construction. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, *37*(3), 360–374. https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2017.1296814

Molla, M. M. (2024). Barriers to AI integration in banks in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Science and Business*, *40*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.58970/IJSB.2441

Monserrat, M., Mas, A., Mesquida, A. L., & Clarke, P. (2022). Investigating the Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Educational Settings: A Systematic Review. In *Communications in Computer and Information Science: Vol. 1646 CCIS* (pp. 3–17). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-15559-8_1

Muka, E., Shehu, D., & Mecaj, G. (2023). Influence of Artificial Intelligence on educational inequalities and perils confronting women in Albania. *FORUM A+P Interdisciplinary Journal of Architecture and Built Environment*, *27*, 78–82. https://doi.org/10.37199/f40002711

Mutawa, A. M. (2024). Enforcing the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence in Education. In *Impacts of Generative AI on the Future of Research and Education* (pp. 143–168). Published by IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0884-4.ch007

Nguyen, T. T. T., & Hamid, M. O. (2019). Language choice, identity and social distance: Ethnic minority students in Vietnam. *Applied Linguistics Review*, *10*(2), 137–161. https://doi.org/10.1515/applirev-2017-0037

Okagbue, E. F., Ezeachikulo, U. P., Akintunde, T. Y., Tsakuwa, M. B., Ilokanulo, S. N., Obiasoanya, K. M., Ilodibe, C. E., & Ouattara, C. A. T. (2023). A comprehensive overview of artificial intelligence and machine learning in education pedagogy: 21 Years (2000–2021) of research indexed in the scopus database. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, *8*(1), 100655. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100655

Onyebuchi Nneamaka Chisom, Chika Chioma Unachukwu, & Blessing Osawaru. (2024). Review of AI in education: Transforming learning environments in Africa. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *5*(10), 637–654. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v5i10.725

Park, S., & Ramirez, K. A. (2021). Globalization in art therapy education: Multicultural training in South Korean context. *The Arts in Psychotherapy*, *72*, 101742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aip.2020.101742

Qian, Z. (2021). Applications, risks and countermeasures of Artificial Intelligence in education. *2021 2nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Education (ICAIE)*, 89–92. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAIE53562.2021.00026

Ramudu, K., Mohan, V. M., Jyothirmai, D., Prasad, D. V. S. S. S. V., Agrawal, R., & Boopathi, S. (2023). Machine learning and artificial intelligence in disease prediction: Applications, challenges, limitations, case studies, and future directions. In *Contemporary Applications of Data Fusion for Advanced Healthcare Informatics* (pp. 297–318). https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-8913-0.ch013

Rana, P., Raj Gupta, L., Kumar, G., & Kumar Dubey, M. (2021). A taxonomy of various applications of Artificial Intelligence in education. *2021 2nd International Conference on Intelligent Engineering and Management (ICIEM)*, 23–28. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIEM51511.2021.9445339

Renz, A., & Hilbig, R. (2020). Prerequisites for artificial intelligence in further education: identification of drivers, barriers, and business models of educational technology companies. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *17*(1), 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-00193-3

Salas-Pilco, S. Z., & Yang, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence applications in Latin American higher education: A systematic review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *19*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00326-w

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Sanusi, I. T., & Olaleye, S. A. (2022). An insight into cultural competence and ethics in K-12 Artificial Intelligence education. *2022 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*, 790–794. https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON52537.2022.9766818

Sennott, S. C., Akagi, L., Lee, M., & Rhodes, A. (2019). AAC and Artificial Intelligence (AI). *Topics in Language Disorders*, *39*(4), 389–403. https://doi.org/10.1097/TLD.0000000000000197

Sharma, N., & Hussain, D. (2021). Dynamics of social identity and cultural intelligence in acculturative adaptation of an ethnic minority group in India. *Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy*, *21*(1), 453–470. https://doi.org/10.1111/asap.12225

Shaun Kim Sopera, John Stephen Alaban, Zaira Briones, & Nicko A. Magnaye. (2023). Artificial Intelligence (AI) on learning process. *International Journal of Integrative Research*, *1*(9), 557–570. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijir.v1i9.244

Siminto, S., Akib, A., Hasmirati, H., & Widianto, D. S. (2023). Educational management innovation by utilizing Artificial Intelligence in higher education. *Al-Fikrah: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan*, *11*(2), 284. https://doi.org/10.31958/jaf.v11i2.11860

Storey, V. A., & Wagner, A. (2024). Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into adult education. *International Journal of Adult Education and Technology*, *15*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJAET.345921

Suwarni, S., Karimullah, S. S., Kaniah, K., Amanat, T., Safar, M., & Tjahyadi, I. (2024). Inclusive sexual education: Integrating gender approaches in learning. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, *16*(1), 416–427. https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v16i1.4690

Sywelem, M. M. G., & Mahklouf, A. M. E.-S. (2024). Ethical considerations in the integration of Artificial Intelligence in education: An overview. *Education & Information Technology*, 01–15. https://doi.org/10.5121/csit.2024.141201

Tambuskar, S. (2022). Challenges and benefits of 7 ways Artificial Intelligence in education sector. *Review of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, *3*(00), e03. https://doi.org/10.37497/rev.artif.intell.education.v3i00.3

Tian, J. (2020). The human resources development applications of machine learning in the view of artificial intelligence. *2020 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Computer and Communication Engineering Technology (CCET)*, 39–43. https://doi.org/10.1109/CCET50901.2020.9213113

Utterberg Modén, M., Ponti, M., Lundin, J., & Tallvid, M. (2024). When fairness is an abstraction: equity and AI in Swedish compulsory education. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2024.2349908

Vaismoradi, M., Turunen, H., & Bondas, T. (2013). Content analysis and thematic analysis: Implications for conducting a qualitative descriptive study. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, *15*(3), 398–405. https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs.12048

Viberg, O., Cukurova, M., Feldman-Maggor, Y., Alexandron, G., Shirai, S., Kanemune, S., Wasson, B., Tømte, C., Spikol, D., Milrad, M., Coelho, R., & Kizilcec, R. F. (2023). *What explains teachers' trust of AI in education across six countries?* https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-024-00433-x

Vistorte, A. O. R., Deroncele-Acosta, A., Ayala, J. L. M., Barrasa, A., López-Granero, C., & Martí-González, M. (2024). Integrating artificial intelligence to assess emotions in learning environments: a systematic literature review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *15*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1387089

Wang, T., Lund, B. D., Marengo, A., Pagano, A., Mannuru, N. R., Teel, Z. A., & Pange, J. (2023). Exploring the potential impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on international students in higher education: Generative AI, chatbots, analytics, and international student success. *Applied Sciences*, *13*(11), 6716. https://doi.org/10.3390/app13116716

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214

Wei, G., & Niemi, H. (2023). Ethical guidelines for Artificial Intelligence-based learning: A transnational study between China and Finland. In *AI in Learning: Designing the Future* (pp. 265–282). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-09687-7_16

Wilson, Z. S., Iyengar, S. S., Pang, S.-S., Warner, I. M., & Luces, C. A. (2012). Increasing access for economically disadvantaged students: The NSF/CSEM & S-STEM programs at Louisiana State University. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, *21*(5), 581–587. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-011-9348-6

Yildirim, Y., & Celepcikay, A. (2021). Artificial intelligence and machine learning applications in education. *Eurasian Journal of Higher Education*, *2*(4), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.31039/ejohe.2021.4.49

Żammit, J. (2021). Maltese educators' perceptions of democracy, equality and justice in multicultural education. *IAFOR Journal of Education*, *9*(1), 153–171. https://doi.org/10.22492/ije.9.1.09

Zawacki-Richter, O., & al., et. (2019). AI in educational technology. *Journal of Educational Technology*, *40*(2), 85–101. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202311.0106.v1

Zhang, J. (2023). Impact of Artificial Intelligence on higher education in the perspective of its application of transformation. *Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media*, *2*(1), 822–830. https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7048/2/2022483

Zhou, N., Zhang, Z., Nair, V. N., Singhal, H., & Chen, J. (2022). Bias, fairness and accountability with Artificial Intelligence and machine learning algorithms. *International Statistical Review*, *90*(3), 468–480. https://doi.org/10.1111/insr.12492

Dwi Mariyono is a Doctor of Islamic Religious Education at the Islamic University of Malang. He achieved the title of Doctor of Islamic Education with cum laude honors in January 2024. His expertise includes the fields of education, institutional development, human resources, policy and culture.
Contatto: dwimariyono@unisma.ac.id

Akmal Nur Alif Hd is a pioneer in digital innovation. A Universitas Brawijaya student since 2022, he developed two key applications: "Web Scholarship Event" and an E-learning app. Active in regional and national events, Akmal also serves as Faculty Coordinator for educational application development, combining technical expertise and leadership.
Contatto: akmalnuralif@student.ub.ac.id

Annis Nur AK is an International Relations student at Universitas Brawijaya, excelling in academics and cinematography. Her acclaimed works include *Attrapeur De Rêves* (2022), earning top awards, and *Deadringer* (2023), with multiple accolades. Balancing academics and creative pursuits, she is a distinguished short film producer.
Contatto: annisnur@student.ub.ac.id

DOI: https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1970-2221/20214